

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Criminal Petition for Review-Post Conviction (ASC)**

**CR-24-0147-PR**

**STATE OF ARIZONA v DONALD RAY PALMER**

**Appellate Case Information**

Case Filed: 14-Jun-2024  
 Case Closed:

**Dept/Composition**

**Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent**

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

**Attorneys for: Respondent**

Laura Winsky Conover, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 24080)

**Side 2. DONALD RAY PALMER, Petitioner**

(Litigant Group) DONALD RAY PALMER

- Donald Ray Palmer

PRO SE

**CASE STATUS**

Jun 14, 2024....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA	2 CA-CR 24-0022 PRPC				
↳ PIM	CR20050892001		Renee L. K. Hampson, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

**4 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

- 14-Jun-2024 FILED: Petitioner's Motion to Extend the Time to File Petition for Review (Petitioner Palmer, Pro Se)
- 17-Jun-2024 Petitioner Palmer filed a "Motion to Extend the Time to File Petition for Review" on June 14, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,  
  
IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Petitioner Palmer's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by July 1, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)
- 24-Jun-2024 FILED: Petition for Review (Petitioner Palmer, Pro Se)
- 24-Jun-2024 FILED: Record from CofA: Link to Electronic Record